

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,977	09/10/2003		Jack C. Wybenga	2003.09.014.BN0	1863
23990	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
DOCKET (FLOURNOY, HORACE L		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2189	
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/658,977	WYBENGA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Horace L. Flournoy	2189		

	Horace L. Flournoy	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing de	136(a) and the appropria t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		.	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	·		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 la 18 a de la 18 de	1 . 1' 	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	———————————————————————————————————————	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	Ros	i . d beny	Snagdon
		REGINALD BRAGD PERVISORY PATENT E	ON EXAMINER

IECHNULUUI CENIER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants remarks: As disclosed in Figure 11, Lipman does in fact disclose "a first portion of said first received address" through IP address bit [31:16]. The examiner notes that this is the claim language and the language is given its broadest reasonable interpretation. The examiner next points out that while the applicant does define the well known meaning of "pipeline" on page 10 of the applicants remarks, the applicant's actual use of "pipeline" in the claim language ("...are pipelined such that...") is taught by the Lipman reference. The examiner respectfully has determined that the definition of "pipeline" in this instance must be evaluation within the scope of the definition as outlined within the claim language. Furthermore, the examiner notes that any address lookup operation is a pipelined transaction. Finally, the examiner does feel that Figure 7 of Lipmann does indeed teach "pipelined memory circuits" because this data structure is a memory circuit as taught in column 1, lines 10-25 of Lipman. Arguments for claim 21 are similar.